

## PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 29 May 1957	2. LOCATION Houma, Louisiana	12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon  <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft  <input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anamolous prop. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 29/2103Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar	5. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
6. SOURCE Military	7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 14 minutes	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one
9. COURSE ESE-SE-E-NE	10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING One object seen on ground radar for 14 minutes.	11. COMMENTS Radar analysis indicates anomalous propagation as most likely cause.

29/2/30 =

# DISPOSITION FORM

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (if any)

010-95  
290557-09

FILE NO.

SUBJECT

(U) Request for UFO Report Analysis

TO AFOIN-4E1

FROM AFOIN-4E4

DATE 10 June 57

COMMENT NO. 1

4E4/Capt G.T.Gregory/wm  
69216

1. In accordance with established ATIC policies for the analysis of UFO reports, request your review and analysis regarding the attached report of a radar UFO.

2. Subject sighting will be tentatively placed in an "unknown" category, pending your written comments or conclusions.

If inclosure..... Is withdrawn (or  
not attached), the classification of this cor-  
respondence will be downgraded to..... in  
accordance with P-3-AFR205-1.

1 Incl

CY of Msg (T57-15274-3)

*Henry J. Miley*  
HENRY J. MILEY  
AFOIN-4E4

T57-15274-A

NR10185 04 JUNE 57

AC PRIORITY

TO COMDR 657 ACURON HOUMA LA 342010Z  
TO COMDR AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER WPAFB OHIO  
COMDR ADC INT AFB COLO  
COMDR DIR OF INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF WASHDC  
COMDR 35 ADIV  
INFO COMDR EADF

PARAPHRASED  
COURSED EXCEPT PRIOR TO  
CATEGORY 1 BY 1000Z-1000Z-1000Z  
ALL INTERNAL REFERENCES BY DATE-TIME GROUP  
PRIOR TO DECLASSIFICATION—NO UNCLASSIFIED  
REFERENCE IF THE DATE-TIME GROUP IS QUOTED

4 JUN 57 1441

1  
2 } 4E4  
3 }  
44X26  
EWB

[REDACTED] CSC 76. OPS 304T. PASSED BY CRYPTO 35 ADIV. ATTN: STAFF CIC.  
UFCB. THE FOLLOWING UFCB REPORT IS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARA  
7 AFM 232-2 DATED 12 AUGUST 1954. 7D(1)(A) NORMAL RADAR RETURN (B)  
NORMAL RADAR RETURN (C) NORMAL RADAR RETURN (D)(1)(E) N/A (F) NONE  
(G) N/A (H) NONE (I) TRACK WAS AVERAGING 2250 KNOTS OCCASSIONALLY  
2350 KNOTS (2)(A) TDV DIRECTOR PICKED IT UP ON HIS SCOPE (3) FWD  
2225 DID NOT APPEAR ON THE MRI BUT WAS ESTIMATED TO BE AT 135,000 FEET  
(C) GNDP0550 ALTITUDE ESTIMATED AT 135,000 FEET (D) EAST SOUTHEAST  
AND TURN SOUTHEAST AND THEN EAST AND THEN NORTHEAST (E) FADED FROM RADAR  
(F) 14 MINUTES (G)(H) GROUND ELECTRONIC AN/MPR-7 (I) NONE (J) N/A

PAGE TWO

(4) 28 MAY 2100Z (B) DAY (5) 29 DEGREES 40 MINUTES NORTH-90 DEGREES  
35 MINUTES WEST (6)(A) NONE (B) HERMAN H ZWINGER MAJOR 725 ACURON,  
WALNUT RIDGE ARKANSAS TDV DIRECTOR MERRIN E HEDDEN 1/LT 739 ACURON  
FLORENCE AF STATION OMAHA NEBRASKA RCV V ARNDT CAPTAIN 793 ACURON  
TARTLESVILLE OKLAHOMA TDV DIRECTOR ROBERT G SCHENKLEING STAFF SGT  
657 ACURON HOUMA LA CREW CHIEF ALLEN M BROWN A/10 657 ACURON HOUMA  
LA AIR SURVILLANCE SUPERVISOR JAMES L YOUNG A/20 657 ACURON HOUMA LA  
RADAR OPERATOR ALL THE AIRMEN ARE VERY RELIABLE PERSONNEL (7)(A)CLEAR  
(B) 6300-540/1510000-270/1510200-260/3520000-200/1530000-290/3050000-  
320/2530000-NOT AVAILABLE (C) INDEFINITE (D) CLEAR (E) NONE (F) NONE  
(G) NONE (H) NONE (I) DIRECTION CENTER CHIEF. POSSIBLE  
INTERFERENCE FROM ADJACENT RADAR STATIONS COULD BE THE CAUSE OF THE  
TRACK IN QUESTION. ONE OF THE REASONS FOR THIS DEDUCTION IS THAT THE  
RADAR RETURN DID NOT SHOW A FUZZY TRAIL SUCH AS A MOVING TARGET  
NORMALLY DOES. ANOTHER REASON IS THAT THE RADAR RETURN SEEMED TO BE  
TILTED APPROXIMATELY 15 DEGREES FROM NORMAL. (12) A FEW FRAMES OF SCOPED  
FILM WERE TAKEN. THE FILM HAS BEEN SHOT TO THE SUPPORT EAST FOR  
PROCESSING. THE FILM SHOULD BE AVAILABLE WITHIN THE NEXT 24 HOURS  
IF IT IS NEEDED.

04/1210Z JUNE

757-15274-1